REMARKS

This Amendment is submitted in response to the Official Letter dated April 7, 2005. Claims 1 through 17 are pending in the application. Favorable reconsideration of the application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

The courtesy of the Examiner in granting the undersigned attorney a telephone interview on June 30, 2005 is gratefully acknowledged. Examiner Sujoy Kundu, Supervisor John Barlow, Applicants' attorneys Allen Inks and James Schweikert, and Applicant Danny Milot were present during the telephone interview. During the interview, the Frey et al. and Wilson references were discussed, and agreement was reached that the references do not show what the Examiner believed they did, in that they fail to teach the claimed subject matter of the Applicants' invention. The Examiner then indicated during this interview that all of the Claims would be allowable, but requested that Applicants amend "steps" in step c) of Claim 10 to "tasks" in order to clarify the intent of the Claim. Pending independent Claim 10 has been amended to more clearly define the method of Claim 10. The claim amendment is made for clarification and is not intended to change the scope of the claim.

In view of the amendment and above remarks it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance and an early notice thereof is respectfully requested.